REMARKS

Claims 1-7 and 9-12 have been allowed. Claims 4, 5, 7, and 12 have been amended. No new matter has been added.

Claims 4, 5, 7, and 12 have been amended in order to change the dependencies of each claim. Claim 4 has been amended in order to become dependent on claim 3 in order to restore the original dependency of this claim. Claim 5 has been amended in order to become dependent on claim 2 because the term "said single-bit rasterize flag" in claim 5 corresponds to "a single-bit rasterize flag" in claim 2. Claim 7 has been amended in order to become dependent on claim 2 because the terms "said single-bit rasterize flag" and "said multi-bits rasterize flag" in claim 7 correspond to "a multi-bits rasterize flag" and "a single-bit rasterize flag" in claim 2. Claim 12 has been amended in order to become dependent on claim 10 because the terms "said single-bit rasterize flag" and "said multi-bits rasterize flag" in claim 12 correspond to "a multi-bits rasterize flag" and "a single-bit rasterize flag" in claim 10. Accordingly, claims 5, 7 and 12 have been amended to ensure correct antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter.

Applicants request entry of the foregoing amendments for the reasons given and because the amendments do not change the scope of the claims in a manner that requires further search and/or examination. Further, the amendments were not earlier presented because the need for the amendments was not recognized until the Notice of Allowance was received and the allowed claims were reviewed.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request acknowledgement of changes before issuance in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

John R. Mattingly

Registration No. 30,203

Attorney for Applicant(s)

MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.

1800 Diagonal Rd., Suite 370 Alexandria, Virginia 22314

(703) 684-1120

Date: December 9, 2005